

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,924		12/04/2001	Paul K. Rosenberg	9775-0048-999	7825
22913	7590	08/10/2004		EXAM	INER
	N NYI	DEGGER (F/K/A	WORKMAN NYDEGGER &	CHAMBLISS, ALONZO	
SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1000 EAGL			2814		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	44	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/005,924	ROSENBERG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti pply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	<u>June 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		00 01012101				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,924 Page 2

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The restriction requirement filed on 3/3/04 has been withdrawn and a new restriction requirement is set forth below.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of optoelectronic assembly package:

- A1. An optoelectronic package with first, second, and third apertures in the base with first, second, and third traces.
- A2. An optoelectronic package without first, second, and third apertures in the base with first, second, and third traces.
- A3. An optoelectronic package with a plurality of transistor outline packages.
- A4. An optoelectronic package with a light source housed in a transmitter outline package and a detector housed in a receiver transistor outline package that is interconnected to a receiver circuit.

If applicant selects species A1 and A2 applicant must further elect from species of type of ground:

- B1. A ground ring that is an integral part of the base.
- B2. A ground ring that is a metal ring that is separate from the base.
- B3. A ground slug on the base.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,924

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2827

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (571) 272-1927.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956

Alonzo Chambliss

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2827